High-order harmonic generation from highly-excited states in acetylene

Peter Mulholland and Daniel Dundas
Atomistic Simulation Centre, School of Mathematics and Physics,
Queen's University Belfast, University Road, Belfast BT7 1NN. N. Ireland.
(Dated: March 16, 2017)

High-order harmonic generation (HHG) from aligned acetylene molecules interacting with mid infra-red (IR), linearly polarized laser pulses is studied theoretically using a mixed quantum-classical approach in which the electrons are described using time-dependent density functional theory while the ions are treated classically. We find that for molecules aligned perpendicular to the laser polarization axis, HHG arises from the HOMO orbital while for molecules aligned along the laser polarization axis, HHG is dominated by the HOMO-1 orbital. In the parallel orientation the harmonic spectrum comprises a double plateau structure with the inner plateau arising from excitation of an autoionizing state. By choosing a suitably tuned vacuum ultraviolet pump pulse we observe a dramatic enhancement of all harmonics in this inner plateau. In certain circumstances, the position of the inner plateau cut-off does not agree with the classical three-step model. We show that this can be understood in terms of suppression of long trajectories due to relaxation from the excited state back to the ground state.

PACS numbers: 42.65.Ky,33.80.Rv,31.15.ee,31.15.xf

arXiv:1703.05245v1 [physics.atom-ph] 15 Mar 2017

High-order harmonic generation (HHG) is a highly nonlinear process in which an atom or molecule absorbs energy from an intense laser pulse before emitting short attosecond bursts of radiation with a frequency that can be many multiples of the incident laser frequency. Understanding and controlling HHG is crucial since it provides a versatile tool for a range of different applications including the use of high harmonic spectroscopy to probe chemical reactions [1], using it as a tool for imaging individual molecular orbitals [2] and for producing attosecond pulse trains [3]. HHG is usually described using the classical three-step model in which an electron is ionized by the laser pulse, is subsequently driven far from the parent ion by the field before finally recombining with the parent with the resultant emission of radiation [4, 5].

Generally, it is assumed that the electron ionizes from and returns to the ground state. However, many studies now propose different schemes involving excitation to intermediate states that can greatly influence the generation of harmonics. For example, early studies of HHG from a coherent superposition of states showed that multiple plateaus can be produced with cut-offs associated with the ionization potential of the states involved [6]. Four-step models of HHG have also been developed to describe how autoionizing states can influence the harmonic response [7]. In addition, studies of HHG in asymmetric diatomic molecules have observed double plateau structures which have been ascribed to resonant excitation induced by laser-induced electron transfer [8]. In that case, HHG is associated with a channel in which electrons are ionized from an excited state and recombine to the ground state. Recently, this channel has been observed experimentally in studies of HHG in argon atoms [9]. In many cases the enhancement is only observed over a narrow band of harmonics [10, 11]. However, by exciting atomic targets with an IR pulse in conjunction with a high-order harmonic pulse, a dramatic enhancement of the harmonic spectra across a wide frequency range was observed [12, 13].

In this Letter we show that highly-excited states play an important role in HHG from aligned acetylene molecules interacting with mid infra-red (IR) linearly polarized laser pulses ($\lambda = 1450$ nm). Using such long laser wavelengths allows the production of an extended plateau using laser intensities well below the saturation limit [1]. Indeed, several studies of HHG in acetylene have already been carried out [14–17]. These have mainly concentrated on studying the role of structural interference minima [18, 19]. Acetylene (C_2H_2) is a small, linear polyatomic molecule with the ground state configuration $(1\sigma_g)^2(1\sigma_u)^2(2\sigma_g)^2(2\sigma_u)^2(3\sigma_g)^2(1\pi_u)^4$. In addition, the next lowest unoccupied orbitals are $(1\pi_g)^0(3\sigma_u)^0(4\sigma_g)^0$. It is widely known that resonance phenomena greatly influence photoabsorption and photoionization cross sections in acetylene [20–28]. While many studies have considered excitations from the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) to the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO), there is much interest in the role of highly-excited states in photoabsorption and photoionization at vacuum ultraviolet (VUV) wavelengths [23–28]. Therefore, we can envisage that these highly-excited states can give rise to several possible mechanisms for HHG.

Our calculations are carried out using time-dependent density functional theory (TDDFT) [29], as implemented in our code EDAMAME [30, 31]. EDAMAME is a highly-parallelized implementation of the nonadiabatic quantum molecular dynamics method, in which the electronic dynamics are calculated quantum mechanically using TDDFT on a real-space grid, while the ionic motion is treated classically. The exchange-correlation potential term, which accounts for all electron-electron interactions in the time-dependent Kohn-Sham equations (TDKS), is approximated using the local density approximation incorporating the Perdew-Wang parameterization of the correlation functional [32]. This functional contains self-interaction errors which means that its long range behaviour is incorrect. One major consequence is that electrons are too loosely bound and excited states are not accurately described [33]. Therefore, we supplement this



FIG. 1. HHG in acetylene after interaction with a 5-cycle, linearly-polarized IR laser pulse having a wavelength of $\lambda = 1450$ nm and a peak intensity of $I = 1.0 \times 10^{14}$ W/cm^2. Two orientations of the molecule with the field were considered, namely the parallel and perpendicular orientations. Additionally we plot the harmonic spectra for the parallel orientation in which the IR pulse has been immediately preceded by an 8-cycle, linearly-polarized VUV laser pulse having a wavelength of $\lambda = 102$ nm and a peak intensity of $I = 1.0 \times 10^{12}$ W/cm^2.

functional with the average density self-interaction correction (ADSIC) [34] which reinstates the correct long-range behaviour in an orbital-independent fashion. While TDDFT has well known problems in describing autoionizing resonances arising from double excitations, it does capture those arising from single excitations [35]. For the resonance phenomena studied in this work, previous TDDFT studies of photoionization of acetylene have shown good accuracy [28].

Using EDAMAME, the Kohn-Sham orbitals are discretised on 3D finite difference grids in Cartesian coordinates. The grid spacing was taken to be 0.4 a_0 in each direction and the grid extents were: $|x| = |y| \leq 90.8\,a_0$ and $|z| \leq 146.8\,a_0$. Absorbing boundaries are implemented near the edges of the grid using a mask function technique [30] in order to prevent wavepacket reflections from the edges of the grid. An 18th-order Arnoldi propagator with a timestep of $\delta t = 0.2$ a.u. was used to produce converged solutions to the solution of the time-dependent Kohn-Sham equations (TDKS). The laser-interaction term is described within the dipole approximation in the length gauge. The electric field vector, $\boldsymbol{E}(t)$, is defined through its relationship to the vector potential, $\boldsymbol{A}(t)$, namely $\boldsymbol{E}(t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\boldsymbol{A}(t)$. All calculations presented in this paper consider acetylene interacting with linearly polarized pulses described by a $\sin^2$ pulse envelope [30].

For the pulses considered, we assume the innermost electrons do not contribute to the dynamical response and therefore only consider the response of the ten outermost electrons. The electron-ion interactions are described with Troullier-Martins pseudopotentials [36] in the Kleinman-Bylander form [37]. All pseudopotentials were generated using APE (the Atomic Pseudopotentials Engine) [38]. Using these pseudopotentials in conjunction with the exchange-correlation functional detailed above, the $X^1\Sigma_g^+$ ground state of the molecule was calculated. This gave a C-C bond length of 2.207 a_0 while the C-H bond length was 2.045 a_0. These agree well with the experimental values of 2.273 a_0 and 2.003 a_0 respectively [27]. For this equilibrium geometry, both occupied and unoccupied Kohn-Sham orbitals were calculated. By Koopman's theorem, the magnitude of the HOMO energy can be considered as a good approximation to the vertical ionization potential to the $X^2\Pi_u$ cationic state. Similarly, the magnitudes of the HOMO-1 and HOMO-2 energies can be thought of as approximations to the energies required to ionize to the $A^2\Sigma_g^+$ and $B^2\Sigma_u^+$ cationic states respectively. For these three cationic states, the experimental ionization potentials (in Hartrees) are 0.4191 Ha, 0.6140 Ha and 0.6912 Ha respectively [39]. Our calculated values for these states are 0.4149 Ha, 0.5548 Ha and 0.6117 Ha respectively.

Consider the interaction of acetylene with a 5-cycle (24.2 fs) laser pulse having a wavelength of $\lambda = 1450$ nm (photon energy $= 0.0314$ Ha) and a peak intensity of $I = 1.0 \times 10^{14}$ W/cm^2. Fig. 1 presents harmonic spectra for acetylene aligned both parallel and perpendicular to the laser polarization direction. We see that the intensity of the plateau harmonics is several orders of magnitude larger when the molecule is aligned perpendicular to the laser polarization direction: this well-known result is based on the symmetry of the HOMO. However, two additional features are present in the plots. Firstly, for the parallel orientation, we see evidence of a double plateau with an inner plateau cut-off near harmonic 65. Secondly, the position of the outer cut-off is different for each orientation. For the perpendicular orientation, the position of the cut-off is consistent with ionization from the HOMO whereas for the parallel orientation the cut-off is consistent with ionization from the HOMO-1. We note that the parallel cut-off is also consistent with ionization from the HOMO-2. However, from considering the populations of the Kohn-Sham orbitals (not shown here), we see that it is mainly the HOMO-1 that responds to the field. In addition, we calculated HHG spectra arising from each occupied Kohn-Sham orbital [40] which shows that this plateau arises from the HOMO-1 orbital. Therefore, our results suggest that in the perpendicular orientation HHG arises from the HOMO orbital while in the parallel case HHG arises predominantly from the HOMO-1. Extensions to the cut-off due to ionization from different orbitals has already been observed in other molecules such as N_2 [41].

Previous studies of photoabsorption and photoionization in acetylene have considered the role of highly-excited states [23–28]. In particular, features in the photoionization spectrum around 0.4963 Ha in the photon energy are generally associated with the formation and subsequent autoionization of the $3\sigma_g \rightarrow 3\sigma_u$ excited state [26, 27]. Such a transition is associated with an excitation from the HOMO-1 to the LUMO+1. In that case, for HHG in the parallel orientation we could envisage a situation in which the molecule is excited to the LUMO+1, ionized from this state and then recombines back to it. In our simulations, the LUMO+1 energy is -0.1103 Ha and so HHG from the LUMO+1 orbital would give a cut-off at harmonic 77. This does not agree with the ob-



FIG. 2. Time frequency analysis of the two HHG spectra obtained in the parallel-orientation in Fig. 1. Plot (a) presents the results obtained using the IR pulse only while plot (b) presents those obtained using a VUV pump pulse immediately preceding the IR pulse. The red lines denote the electric field.



FIG. 3. HHG in acetylene after interaction with a VUV pump pulse and IR probe pulse. The pump pulse is an 8-cycle linearly-polarized VUV laser pulse having a wavelength of $\lambda = 102$ nm and a peak intensity of $I = 1.0 \times 10^{12}$ W/cm^2. The probe pulse is a 5-cycle, linearly-polarized IR laser pulse having a wavelength of $\lambda = 1450$ nm and a peak intensity of $I = 6.5 \times 10^{13}$ W/cm^2. Plot (a) presents the harmonic spectrum while plot (b) presents its time-frequency analysis. The red line denotes the electric field.

served cut-off for the inner plateau around harmonic 65. We will return to this point later.

In order to investigate the role of the $3\sigma_g \rightarrow 3\sigma_u$ excitation, we can excite the molecule using an 8-cycle (2.67 fs) linearly polarized VUV laser pulse having a wavelength of $\lambda = 102$ nm (photon energy $= 0.4467$ Ha) and a peak intensity of $I = 1.0 \times 10^{12}$ W/cm^2. For this pulse, the bandwidth is sufficient to also excite the $2\sigma_u \rightarrow 4\sigma_g$ transition. Immediately after the pump pulse, the molecule interacts with the IR laser pulse. The polarization direction of both pulses is along the molecular axis. The resulting harmonic spectra is shown in Fig. 1. Two areas of significant harmonic enhancement are observed. Firstly, a window of enhanced harmonics (harmonics 11–21) is present. Secondly, the inner secondary plateau that was observed for the IR-only pulse is greatly enhanced. Indeed the intensity of the harmonics in the inner plateau are now comparable to those using the IR probe aligned perpendicular to the molecular axis. This enhancement could arise from either the $3\sigma_g \rightarrow 3\sigma_u$ and $2\sigma_u \rightarrow 4\sigma_g$ excitations. However, as in the case of the IR-only results, it is the population of the $3\sigma_g$ orbital that responds predominantly to the field. In addition, by calculating the HHG spectra arising from each occupied Kohn-Sham orbital we see that this plateau arises from the HOMO-1 orbital. Hence we attribute the enhancement to the $3\sigma_g \rightarrow 3\sigma_u$ excitation.

Two mechanisms give rise to the observed harmonic enhancements. After excitation of the molecule by the VUV pump pulse, relaxation can now occur back to the ground state resulting in the emission of a high energy photon. For a VUV photon energy of 0.4467 Ha, this would correspond to harmonic 15 of the IR pulse. The enhancement for harmonics 11–21 is thus due to bound-bound transitions as the molecule relaxes back to the ground state. For the inner plateau, the enhancement appears to originate due to ionization from and subsequent recombination back to the excited state. This explanation is backed up by carrying out a time-frequency analysis of the harmonic response. Fig. 2(a) presents the time-frequency spectrum for the IR-only pulse in the parallel orientation while Fig. 2(b) presents the results for the VUV+IR pulses. For the IR-only results we see that the plateau harmonics are not emitted until the pulse has ramped on fully. This is consistent with the normal three-step model. During the initial ramp-on, excitation also occurs which can lead to subsequent HHG from the excited state. For the VUV+IR pulses the situation is dramatically different. In this case we see the low-order harmonic enhancement occurring during the interaction with the VUV pump right up until the first few cycles of the IR pulse. At this point these bound-bound transitions cease as the excited state population ionizes before recombining back to the excited state. These bound-continuum transitions occur much earlier during the IR pulse as the population is already excited. Thus we see the formation of the plateau well be-



FIG. 4. Time frequency analysis for the three time-frequency spectra presented in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3(b), centered around harmonics 12–18. Panel (a) corresponds to the results of Fig. 2(a), panel (b) to results of Fig. 2(b) and panel (c) to the results of Fig. 3(b). The red lines denote the electric field of the IR pulse only. The two white lines represent a time window between the electric field passing through a maximum and passing through zero.

fore the pulse has ramped up to maximum intensity. As the IR pulse ramps off, HHG switches off and population in the excited state now de-excites to the ground state resulting in the generation of low-order harmonics again.

Finally, we return to the question of the position of the cut-off for the excited-state plateau: in essence there appears to be a suppression of the cut-off harmonics. In the normal three-step model of harmonic generation we expect tunnel ionization to occur around maxima in the electric field with recombination occurring three-quarters of a cycle later when the electric field is close to zero. Different ionization times give rise to a range of long and short trajectories which recombine at different times and with different energies. The cut-off is associated with the trajectory with maximum return energy. Looking at the time-frequency analysis for both sets of results in Fig. 2 we see that each burst of the inner plateau harmonics are predominantly associated with either the long or short trajectories while the cut-off is suppressed. Let us now consider reducing the intensity of the IR pulse. Fig. 3 presents results for acetylene interacting with the same VUV pump pulse considered in Fig. 1 followed by an IR pulse having a peak intensity of $I = 6.5 \times 10^{13}$ W/cm^2. In Fig. 3(a) we see that the harmonic spectra has the same structure as that observed for the higher intensity results. The cut-off positions for both plateaus are lower since the ponderomotive energy is reduced. From the classical three-step model the cut-off for the inner plateau should be harmonic 51 and we see that our results agree, i.e. the cut-off is not suppressed. Looking at the time frequency analysis of these results, as presented in Fig. 3(b), we see that while the short trajectories have a greater contribution there is less asymmetry in the contribution of the long and short trajectories than that observed in Fig. 2(b). Thus the inner plateau cut-off is only suppressed in certain circumstances.

Previously, L'Huillier and co-workers [42] showed that the position of the cut-off harmonics in atomic gases could be controlled by combining the IR pulse with a low-order harmonic pulse. In that case the combined field modified the ionization step which led to an enhancement of the short trajectories while suppressing the long trajectories. In acetylene the excited state modifies ionization as well. If the molecule relaxes from the excited state back to the ground state ionizion should be suppressed. We can observe when this relaxation occurs by looking at the time-frequency spectra around harmonic 15. Fig. 4 presents the time-frequency spectra for the three cases considered in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3(b). Here we zoom in on harmonics 12–18. We will consider a quarter cycle of the pulse denoted by the two white lines. From the three-step model the first part of this window is associated with the creation of long trajectories while the second part with the creation of short trajectories. In the spectra red corresponds to a large recombination while blue corresponds to a small recombination. A large recombination means a lower probability of ionization and vice-versa. For the results in Fig. 4(a) and Fig. 4(b) we see that the long trajectories are suppressed relative to the short trajectories, while for Fig. 4(c) both trajectories are suppressed equally: this is exactly what we observe three quarters of a cycle later in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3(b) when recollision occurs. The same analysis can be applied to subsequent ionization and recombination windows.

In conclusion, we have studied HHG in aligned acetylene molecules using mid-IR laser pulses and shown that an autoionizing state associated with the $3\sigma_g \rightarrow 3\sigma_u$ excitation plays a crucial role whenever the pulse is aligned along the molecular axis. By exciting the molecule with a VUV pulse tuned to this excitation, the harmonic signal in the plateau is dramatically enhanced. This enhancement is associated with ionization from and recombination back to the excited state. Since resonances and auto-ionizing states are ubiquitous in many molecules this opens up the possibility of controlling reactions using suitable attosecond pulses [3, 43]. Additionally, since the HHG enhancement using the VUV+IR pulses is comparable to the spectral density observed using an IR pulse aligned perpendicular to the molecule and since the pump pulse does not appear to have an effect on the response in the perpendicular alignment, the use of the VUV pump should lead to a boost in the overall harmonic signal when considering unaligned samples of molecules.

This work used the ARCHER UK National Supercomputing Service (http://www.archer.ac.uk) and has been supported by COST Action CM1204 (XLIC). PM acknowledges financial support through a PhD studentship funded by the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council.

[1] J. P. Marangos. *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.*, 49:132001, 2016.
[2] J. Itatani, J. Levesque, D. Zeidler, H. Niikura, H. Pépin, J. C. Kieffer, P. B. Corkum, and D. M. Villeneuve. *Nature*, 432:867, 2004.
[3] F. Krausz and M. Ivanov. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 81:163, 2009.

[4] P. B. Corkum. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 71:1994, 1993.

[5] K. C. Kulander, K. J. Schafer, and J. L. Krause. In B. Piraux, A. L'Huillier, and K. Rzazewski, editors, *Super-Intense Laser-Atom Physics*, page 95. Plenum, New York, 1993.

[6] J. B. Watson, A. Sanpera, X. Chen, and K. Burnett. *Phys. Rev. A*, 53:R1962, 1996.

[7] V. Strelkov. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 104:123901, 2010.

[8] X.-B. Bian and A. D. Bandrauk. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 105:093903, 2010.

[9] S. Beaulieu, S. Camp, D. Descamps, A. Comby, V. Wanie, S. Petit, F. Légaré, K. J. Schafer, M. B Gaarde, F. Catoire, and Y. Mairesse. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 117:203001, 2016.

[10] R. A. Ganeev, M. Suzuki, M. Baba, H. Kuroda, and T. Ozaki. *Opt. Lett.*, 31:1699, 2006.

[11] J. Rothhardt, S. Hädrich, S. Demmler, Ma. Krebs, S. Fritzsche, and J. Limpert. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 112:233002, 2014.

[12] K. Ishikawa. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 91:043002, 2003.

[13] E. J. Takahashi, T. Kanai, K. L. Ishikawa, Y. Nabekawa, and K. Midorikawa. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 99:053904, 2007.

[14] C. Vozzi, R. Torres, M. Negro, L. Brugnera, T. Siegel, C. Altucci, R. Velotta, F. Frassetto, L. Poletto, P. Villoresi, S. De Silvestri, S. Stagira, and J. P. Marangos. *App. Phys. Lett.*, 97: 241103, 2010.

[15] R. Torres, T. Siegel, L. Brugnera, I. Procino, J. G. Underwood, C. Altucci, R. Velotta, E. Springate, C. Froud, I. C. E. Turcu, M. Yu. Ivanov, O. Smirnova, and J. P. Marangos. *Opt. Express*, 18:3174, 2010.

[16] C. Vozzi, M. Negro, and S. Stagira. *J. Mod. Opt.*, 59:1283, 2012.

[17] M. Negro, M. Devetta, D. Faccialá, S. De Silvestri, C. Vozzi, and S. Stagira. *Faraday Discuss.*, 171:133, 2014.

[18] M. Lein, N. Hay, R. Velotta, J. P. Marangos, and P. L. Knight. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 88:183903, 2002.

[19] M. Lein, N. Hay, R. Velotta, J. P. Marangos, and P. L. Knight. *Phys. Rev. A*, 66:023805, 2002.

[20] J. E. Collin and J. Delwiche. *Can. J. Chem.*, 45:1883, 1967.

[21] P. W. Langhoff, B. V. McKoy, R. Unwin, and A. M. Bradshaw. *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 83:270, 1981.

[22] S. Zamith, V. Blanchet, B. Girard, J. Andersson, S. L. Sorensen, O. Björneholm I. Hjelte, D. Gauyacq, J. Norin, J. Mauritsson, and A. L'Huillier. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 119:3763, 2003.

[23] L. E. Machado, E. P. Leal, G. Csanak, B. V. McKoy, and P. W. Langhoff. *J. Elec. Spect. Rel. Phenom.*, 25:1, 1982.

[24] J. C. Han, C. Ye, M. Suto, and L. C. Lee. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 90: 4000, 1989.

[25] K. Mitsuke and H. Hattori. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 102:5288, 1994.

[26] M. C. Wells and P. R. Lucchese. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 111:6290, 1999.

[27] T. Yasuike and S. Yabushita. *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 316:257, 2000.

[28] G. Fronzoni, M. Stener, and P. Decleva. *Chem. Phys.*, 298:141, 2004.

[29] E. Runge and E. K. U. Gross. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 52:997, 1984.

[30] D. Dundas. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 136:194303, 2012.

[31] A. Wardlow and D. Dundas. *Phys. Rev. A*, 93:023428, 2016.

[32] J. P. Perdew and Y. Wang. *Phys. Rev. B*, 45:13244, 1992.

[33] M. E. Casida, C. Jamorski, K. C. Casida, and D. R. Salahub. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 108:4439, 1998.

[34] C. Legrand, E Suraud, and P.-G. Reinhard. *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.*, 35:1115, 2002.

[35] A. J. Krueger and N. T. Maitra. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 11: 4655, 2009.

[36] N. Troullier and J. L. Martins. *Phys. Rev. B*, 43(3):1993, 1991.

[37] L. Kleinman and D. M. Bylander. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 48:1425, 1982.

[38] M. J. T. Oliveira and F. Nogueira. *Comp. Phys. Comm.*, 178: 524, 2008.

[39] P. Duffy, S. A. C. Clark, C. E. Brion, M. E. Casida, D. P. Chong, E. R. Davidson, and C. Maxwell. *Chem. Phys.*, 165:183, 1992.

[40] X. Chu and G. C. Groenenboom. *Phys. Rev. A*, 93:013422, 2016.

[41] B. K. McFarland, J. P. Farrell, P. H. Bucksbaum, and M. Gühr. *Science*, 322:1232, 2008.

[42] F. Brizuela, C. M. Heyl, P. Rudawski, D. Kroon, L. Rading, J. M. Dahlström, J. Mauritsson, P. Johnsson, C. L. Arnold, and A. L'Huillier. *Scientific Reports*, 3:1410, 2013.

[43] F. Lépine, M. Y. Ivanov, and M. J. J. Vrakking. *Nature Photonics*, 8:195, 2014.